30 445 (Rev. 8/01) Summons in a Civil Action

3/107-036724

United	STATES DISTRICT	COURTON (A)
Southern	District of	New York 30
GUSTAVO BERMUDEZ		
V.		ONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
CITY OF NEW YORK POLICE DEPARTME THE UNITED STATES DRUG ENFORCEMENT AD	MINISTRATION	
	CASE NUMBER:	JUDGE BAER
TO: (Name and address of Defendant)	_	۳) س
City of New York Police 1 Police Plaza	Department	200
New York, NY 10038		
		anner 1
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED a	and required to serve on PLAINTII	FF'S ATTORNEY (name and address Rem
Karen L. Mayer, Esq. Philip Russell, LLC 66 Field Point Road Greenwich, CT 06830		₩ % ₹.
n answer to the complaint which is served on the first summons on you, exclusive of the day of or the relief demanded in the complaint. Any there of this Court within a reasonable period of the court within a reasonable period.	service. If you fail to do so, judgo answer that you serve on the par	days after service ment by default will be taken against you ties to this action must be filed with the
J. Michael Member CLERK	DATE	OCT 2 5 2007

SAO 440 (Rev. 8/01) Summons in a Civil Action		
	RETURN OF SERVICE DATE	
Service of the Summons and complaint was made by me ⁽¹⁾	DAIE	
NAME OF SERVER (PRINT)	TITLE	
Check one box below to indicate appropriate metho	od of service	
☐ Served personally upon the defendant. Place	where served:	
☐ Left copies thereof at the defendant's dwellin discretion then residing therein.	g house or usual place of abode with a person of	suitable age and
Name of person with whom the summons and	d complaint were left:	
☐ Returned unexecuted:		
Other (specify):		
	TEMENT OF SERVICE FEES	
RAVEL SERVICES		TOTAL \$0.00
DE	CLARATION OF SERVER	
contained in the Return of Service and Statemen Executed on		
Date Si	gnature of Server	
A	ddress of Server	

UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT CO	DURT		
SOUTHERN DIS	TRICT OF NEW	YORKA IS	ते उन्तर । ता नुसार	4
X	X		T 2 - 2007 📗	
GUSTAVO BERMUDEZ,			= 1, -80NY	

PLAINTIFF,

CASE NO.

VS.

07 CW. 9537

CITY OF NEW YORK POLICE DEPARTMENT AND THE UNITED STATES DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION,

JUDGE BAEL

DEFENDANTS.

OCTOBER 24, 2007

<----X

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, GUSTAVO BERMUDEZ, by his attorney, Karen L. Mayer, complaining of the defendant, alleges:

- 1. This is an action for the recovery of seized personal property and money damages against the Defendants. Jurisdiction is predicated upon 28 U.S.C. §§§ 1331, 1332, and 1367 and 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988 and upon the pendent jurisdiction of this Court to entertain claims arising under state law.
- 2. Venue is proper in this district because it is where the original action arose when the City of New York Police Department (hereinafter "NYPD") seized property from the Plaintiff and where the division of the United States Drug Enforcement Administration (hereinafter "DEA"), who received the Plaintiff's property, is located and does business.

- 3. It is alleged that the NYPD and DEA unreasonably seized and forfeited the Plaintiff's property, thereby depriving him of his right to the property with due process of law or just compensation.
- 4. At the time of the commencement of the above entitled action, and at all times hereinafter mentioned, Plaintiff was and still is the owner and entitled to the immediate possession of certain United States Currency, to wit:
 - a) The Plaintiff shows actual standing, whereby under 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6), "no property shall be forfeited under this paragraph, to the extent of the interest of an owner, by reason of any act or omission established by that owner to have been committed or omitted without the knowledge or consent of that owner". The Plaintiff, being the owner of the currency, was in actual possession of the currency at the time of the seizure, had actual control and a financial stake in the currency.
 - b) The Plaintiff has an interest in the property and will be able to show that the money, which according to the DEA has already been administratively forfeited, belonged to him due to a business venture and therefore sufficient to establish standing. *United States v. \$47,875 in United States Currency, 746 F.2d 291 at 293 (5th Cir. 1984).*
 - c) The Plaintiff has ownership of the property, never denied that the currency was his, and was in dominion and control of the currency during the seizure.
- 5. The value of the aforesaid chattel is ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-THREE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED SIXTY-FIVE AND 00/100 DOLLARS (\$123,565.00) in United States Currency.

- 6. Upon information and belief, the Defendant DEA is in the possession of the aforesaid chattel and continues to wrongfully retain it.
- 7. The retention of the chattel by the Defendant is wrongful and the reversal of said purported forfeiture should be granted whereby:
 - a) On July 18, 2006, the Plaintiff, Gustavo Bermudez, was in possession of \$123,565.00 in United States Currency, which he had received from his work in the Dominican Republic.
 - b) The Plaintiff is the owner of said United States Currency. Until the incident on July 18, 2006, he had never been questioned about this money.
 - c) On July 18, 2006, the Plaintiff was passing through the Bronx, New York, at which time the Plaintiff was confronted by NYPD Sergeant Crowley, who at all times mentioned herein was acting under color of law. Sergeant Crowley searched the Plaintiff's person without reason or cause.
 - d) Sergeant Crowley, without justification or cause, seized the sum \$123,565.00 of United States Currency from the Plaintiff. The NYPD issued a Property Clerk's Invoice at the time the currency was confiscated which detailed the quantity of each type of United States Currency, totaling \$123,565.00. The Property Clerk's Invoice is attached heretofore as Exhibit A.
 - e) The Plaintiff was not arrested and no charges were ever filed against the Plaintiff subsequent to the confiscation of said \$123,565.00 in United States Currency by the NYPD.
 - f) Said \$123,565.00, which was seized by agents of the NYPD, was, upon information and belief, then secretly furnished to the DEA.

- g) Following the complaint and demand of the Plaintiff, the NYPD instituted a case number, NYCPD-IAB; 06DEA971937, which was assigned on March 9, 2007 to Captain Miller.
- the NYPD, on behalf of the DEA, in the amount of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-TWO THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED EIGHTY-FIVE AND 00/100 DOLLARS (\$122,985.00). This represents a FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY AND 00/100 DOLLARS (\$580.00) discrepancy from the original New York Police Department Property Clerk's Invoice. Furthermore, the receipt was not an official voucher, but rather a "whited out" New York Police Department Voucher. A copy of the unofficial voucher issued by the DEA is attached heretofore as Exhibit B.
- i) Upon information and belief, the currency was issued a Yellow Tag, numbered 0003617, and a blue moneybag under file number C1-06-0121 for the Overnight Vault.
- j) Upon information and belief, the tagged money subsequently was brought to an Asset Forfeiture Account in White Plains, NY and deposited into account number 3752197200.
- k) The Plaintiff never received any official notice of forfeiture from the DEA nor was he given the opportunity to be heard or recover the currency. Instead, the Plaintiff continued to demand redress from the NYPD, whose name the defendants prominently displayed on all paperwork furnished to the Plaintiff.
- It was only on March 9, 2007 that the Plaintiff learned that the funds were transferred to the DEA, at which point, Plaintiff, through his counsel, did the

following:

- Placed several telephone calls to the agents of the DEA, believed to be assigned to the matter, in an effort to obtain the required information necessary to make a formal demand for the return of the seized funds;
- ii. On April 16, 2007, a written inquiry was made requesting information on whether administrative forfeiture proceedings had been commenced. The DEA neither furnished any of the requested nor responded to said request.
- iii. On May 3, 2007, written demand was made for the return of the seized funds from the DEA. Said request was denied on May 22, 2007.
- 8. This action should not be time barred, due to the lack of response from both the NYPD and the DEA regarding the forfeited currency, to wit:
 - a) Prior to the commencement of this action, and on or about October 25, 2006, plaintiff duly demanded the possession of the aforesaid chattel from the defendant NYPD.
 - b) The DEA continually ignored the requests made by the Plaintiff to recover the forfeited currency.
 - as a result of the DEA's deliberate and continual refusal to supply any information with regard to the seizure and/or forfeiture.
 - d) In addition, any attempts made by the Plaintiff to recover the forfeited currency were to no avail due to the lack of proper voucher and invoice tickets for the property.
 - e) The Plaintiff never received notice of the forfeiture action from the DEA, nor was

- any other action taken by the DEA to notify the Plaintiff. U.S. v Various Parcels of Real Property, 650 F.Supp. 62, 64 n.2 (N.D. Ind. 1986) See United States v. \$38,000,00 in United States Currency, 816 F.2d 1538, 1545 (11th Cir.1987).
- Any publication of the forfeiture in the Wall Street Journal was inaccurate due to f) the difference in currency amounts on the improper voucher furnished to the Plaintiff, and therefore was unidentifiable and lacked actual notice.
- The courts have continually excused the late filing or extended time of a forfeiture g) action if an attempt was made in good faith to file a claim. United States v. Articles of Hazardous Substances, 444 F.Supp. 1260 at 1263 (M.D.N.C.), modified, 588 F.2d 39 (4th Cir. 1978); or relied detrimentally on misinformation provided by a federal agency, United States v. One 1979 Oldsmobile-Cutlass Supreme, 589 F. Supp. 477 at 478 (N.D.Ga. 1984).
- It is in the court's discretion to allow an extension to file a claim in a case of good h) faith attempts and misinformation.
- Since the seizure of the funds, no criminal actions have been commenced against the 9. Defendant to date, thereby creating no reason for the continued possession of the Plaintiff's currency.
- Due to the lack of criminal activity and liability that was found, the seized funds should 10. be returned to the plaintiff, who at all times lawfully possessed these funds.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment against the defendants and an order adjudging that the plaintiff is the owner of the seized funds and is entitled to their immediate possession, and that the currency be delivered to the plaintiff, and if possession thereof cannot be given to the plaintiff, that the plaintiff have judgment against the defendant awarding:

- i. ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-THREE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED SIXTY-FIVE AND 00/100 DOLLARS (\$123,565.00) with interest thereon from October 25, 2006;
- ii. Compensatory damages;
- Punitive damages pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988; iii.
- Costs and Attorney's Fees pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2465(b)(1)(A), 28 iv. U.S.C. 2412(d) and/or 42 U.S.C. §1988;
- Interest; ٧.
- Costs; vi.
- Injunctive relief; and vii.
- viii. Such other, further and different relief as this court may deem proper and just.

THE PLAINTIFF **GUSTAVO BERMUDEZ**

By Karly Lingy Karen L. Mayer, Esq. 0 Philip Russell, LLC 66 Field Point Road Greenwich, CT 06830 (203) 661-4200 Federal Juris No. KM6555

212 741 8408



PROPERTY CLERK'S INVOICE

Check only one of the brilow estegories,

	-		,	- Construction	Ψ,		+
	□ AI	RREST EVIDENCE	☐ DECEDI	ENT'S PROPERTY		[] * D	
	- 0	INVESTIGATORY	DPEDDI	ER PRINCETY		□ FOUND F	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	,	D	ATE PREPARED.	SELECTION CHIEF		√ отне.	R Forfeiture
	Assigned Office	CI.	ATE PREPARED:	0.7./1.3./06.		YR 200	6 - РСТ. 050
.sgt	Crawley			Rank Shide Sgt94		Тах Reg. No 914736	Command
Berde		First Cavo-A:	Ago Address (Include	City, State, Zin Code	A		
Oate of An	est Ares	ast No.	144 11 Fitch	urg Market	Ŝt. N	MA PIC	of Prisoners Nec /
07/	18/06	- Investig	Under Investigation		·	Fol Misd J.D	Vial. Complaint N
Finder or P	ropanty						On Brain N
Ouronia	A/0)	1 4	City, Sitate, Zip Code			. Telephone No.
owner a Ma	me (Sec Instru	etlons)	Address (Include	Dlaza City, State, Zip Code.	A-+ 1		
Complainant	DEPT					, , [,]	Tolephone No.
P51			Address (Include	City, Slate, Zip Code.	Apt.)		
····	T	<u> </u>				,	Telephone No. •
ITEM NO.	QUANTITY	ARTIC	3 F	CASH V	VLUE	(For Prope	rly Clerk's Use Only)
01	1	_		U.S. Currer	ch Outre	- DISPOSITION	AND DATE
10	344	one hundred doll	ař bills	34000	00	****	
02	307	fifes ant - the			++		
		fifty dollar bil	<u>is</u> "	15200	00		- .
03	3605	twenty dollar bi	11a				
		A STATE OF THE STA		72,100	00	_	
-04	, ISO	ten dollar		1500	00.	1.	
. 05	60			2300	00:		
	6B	five dollar bill	<u>.s`-</u>	300	00	-	-
06	65	one dollar bill					
		Ous dorrar Dill	<u> </u>	. 65.	00		
			•				
	·						
1	1	<u>.</u> —					
		1					

				-4			
		المرزي مرزم ومستن بالأثاني	والمناز والمعتار والمناف			·	
							
itional Invesce	Alon Dur	to This Case Including Moto	TOTA	23.56	ΩD^{-}		~
108427		TO THIS Case including Moto	ir Vehicles	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Owner/C	fakmant's – Signa	Alima	Phik Receipt	.∪- _:Сору (of Voucher Issued	□ Yes € Hetusa
1.T.O.				can tune	Prope	erty Clerk Storage Lo	☐ Yes —
ARKS: Briefly	explain why the	is property was taken into cu	rdody (spa instruct)		1		· .
spone b	ropety wa	as taken into pol:	ice custody #	or Asset fort	`````		
OVERED	FROM-BERIV	DDET GUASTAVO		amout iuli	CITUI	₩,	
And Signatur	TO Sask Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	- Com Conice	Sky Sky	nature of Amesting/As	signed Officer	Boro S	forago No.	
PERTY ON A	HE VOLICHER	DELIVERED TO Dank	195	2		g - · · - ·	-
PHOPEHIY E	LERIES OF FICI	E BY:	Name			Comma	Ind
ty Clerk's Signa	Sture		<u> </u>				
		‡					